

Open Access

Review Article

Application of the Pyphagor's Theorem for Correction of K_i and K_a constants of enzyme inhibition and activation

VI Krupyanko¹* and PV Krupyanko²

¹GK Skryabin Institute of Biochemistry and Physiology of Microorganism, Russian Academy of Sciences, 142290 Pushchino, Moscow Region, Prospect Nauki 5, Russia ²Center for Information Technologies on Transport LLC, 142060, Moskow, Domodedovo, MK-

²Center for Information Technologies on Transport LLC, 142060, Moskow, Domodedovo, MK-Region Barybino, str. Yuzhnaya 17, Russia

Abstract

The analysis of dependence of the length projection of L_i vectors of biparametrical inhibited and activated (L_a) enzymatic reactions from the length projection of vectors of monoparametrical inhibited and activated enzymatic reactions on the basic σ_0 plane in three-dimensional $K_m VI$ coordinate system, allows to deduct the quadratic forms of equations for the correction of the constants of inhibition (K_i) and activation (K_a) of enzymes. Examples of correction of constants are given.

Introduction

The study inhibition of enzymes helps to synthesize the drugs from poisoning of living organisms.

In previous articles [1-9], devoted to construction of a vector method representation of enzymatic reactions in the three-dimensional $K'_m V'I$ coordinate system the properties of L vectors of enzymatic reactions was analyzed, from which the *parametriacal* classification of the types of enzymatic reactions and the equations for calculation of initial activated (v_a) and inhibited (v_i) reaction rates was deduced. In articles [2-9] the equations of traditional form (*t.f.*) for calculation of the constants of activation (K_a) and absent in practice the equations of nontrivial types of biparametrical constants of inhibition (K_i) of enzymes (Table 1), was deduced [5].

This work is devoted to deduction of quadratic form (*q.f.*) of the equations for correction of biparametrical constants of inhibition K_i and activation K_a of enzymes (Table 1, *q.f.*), opening additional ability in the analysis of enzyme action what help of these equations.

The examples of comparative using traditional and quadratic form of equations for correction of K_i and K_a constants of enzyme inhibition and activation are given.

Deduction of traditional form of equations

From Figures 1 and 2 it easy to see, that (l_i) length of (L_{ii}) projection of L_{ii} vector of biparametrically coordinated, I_i type (or mixed type [10-12] of enzyme inhibition) on P_i semiaxis will be determined by difference: (*i*- 0) parameters, The basic σ 0 plane (Figure 2), actually is orthogonal projection of three-dimensional L vectors of (Figure 1), i.e. the scalar magnitudes (orthogonal between them self) L_{IIIi} and L_{IVi} projections of monoparametrical L_{IIIi} and L_{IVi} vectors of *III*_i and *IV*_i type of enzyme inhibition, (which

How to cite this article: Krupyanko VI, Krupyanko PV. Application of the Pyphagor's Theorem for Correction of K_i and K_a constants of enzyme inhibition and activation. Arch Pharm Pharma Sci. 2018; 2: 060-068. https://doi.org/10.29328/journal.apps.1001011

*Address for Correspondence: Vladimir I Krupyanko, GK Skryabin Institute of Biochemistry and Physiology of Microorganism, Russian Academy of Sciences, Town Pushchino, Prospekt Nauki 5, Moscow Region, Russia, 142290, Tel: (495) 625-74-48; Fax: 956-33-70; Email: krupyanko@ibpm.pushchino.ru

Submitted: 19 September 2018 Approved: 29 October 2018 Published: 30 October 2018

Copyright: © 2018 Krupyanko VI, et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

Keywords: Quadratic forms of equations for correction of K_i and K_a constants

Check for updates



		Type	Correlation between	
No	Effect	of effect	$K_{\scriptscriptstyle m}^{'}$ and $V^{'}$ parameters	Graphs in (v_o^{-1} ; S ⁻¹) coordinates
1	Inhibition- (i > 0)	I_i	$K_m' > K_m^0$, $V' < V^0$	$\begin{array}{c} \nu_0^{-1} & I \\ \hline & \omega^{\dagger} & 0 \end{array}$
				v_0^{-1} S ⁻¹
2		II_i	$K_m' < K_m^0$, $V' < V^0$	0
			$(tg\omega' = tg\omega^0)$	
3		III_i	$K_{m}^{'}$ = K_{m}^{0} , $V^{'}$ < V^{0}	V ₀ 111
				S-1
4		IV.	$K_{m}^{'}$ > K_{m}^{0} , $V^{'}$ = V^{0}	v_0^{-1} IV
		1 / I		
_		V	$K_{m}^{'} > K_{m}^{0}, V^{'} > V^{0}$	v_0^{-1} V
		V _i	m m·	S ¹
		171	$K' \in K^0 V' \in V^0$	v_0^{-1} VI
6		VI _i	$(tg\omega' > tg\omega^{0})$	0
				v_0^{-1} 5
7		VII_i	$K_m < K_m^\circ, V < V^\circ$	VII
			(lgw < lgw)	
8	None	L	$K_{m}^{'}$ = K_{m}^{0} , $V^{'}$ = V^{0}	v_0^{-1} 0
		-0		S ⁻¹
	Activation (a > 0)	VII _a	$K' > K^0 V' > V^0$	V_0^{-1} VII
9			$(tg\omega' > tg\omega^0)$	VII S ⁻¹
				v_0^{-1} 0
10		VI _a	$K_m > K_m, V > V$	VI
			$(lg\omega < lg\omega)$	v_0^{-1} v_0^{-1}
11		V_{a}	$K_m^{'}$ < K_m^0 , $V^{'}$ < V^0	V
				S ⁻¹
12		IV_a	$K_{m}^{'}$ < K_{m}^{0} , $V^{'}$ = V^{0}	V ₀ IV
				S ⁻¹
13		Ш	$K_{m}^{'}$ = K_{m}^{0} , $V^{'}$ > V^{0}	
		a		
		11	$K' > K^0, V' > V^0$	
14		II_{a}	$(tg\omega' = tg\omega^0)$	C.1
			$v' v^0 t' t^0$	
*15		I_a	$\mathbf{K}_m < \mathbf{K}_m, V > V^\circ$	0 ⁰
				S^1

Table 1: Equations for calculation of K_i and K_a constants (in traditional form).

*The symbol of a graph in Figure. 1-15 corresponds to the type of reaction under study. For example: the line (0) characterizes the position of initial (nonactivated) enzymatic reaction, line I – the position of a graph representing the I_a type of activated enzymatic reaction etc.



Type		1	Traditional form (t f) of equation for	
of effect	New name of enzy-matic reactions	Traditio-nal name	calculation of K_i and K_a constants	Quadratic form (q.f.) of equations
I_i	bipara- metrically coordina-ted inhibition	mixed inhibi-tion	$K_{II} = i / \left(\left(\frac{K_m^{'} - K_m^0}{K_m^0} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{V^0 - V^{'}}{V^{'}} \right)^2 \right)^{0.5}$	$K_{II} = 1/\left(\frac{1}{K_{IIII}^2} + \frac{1}{K_{IVI}^2}\right)^{0.5}$
II_i	unassoci-ative inhibition	uncom-petitive inhibi- tion	$K_{III} = i / \left(\left(\frac{K_m^0 - K_m^{'}}{K_m^{'}} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{V^0 - V^{'}}{V^{'}} \right)^2 \right)^{0.5}$	$ \begin{pmatrix} K_{IIi} = 1 \\ \left(\frac{1}{K_{IIIi}^2} + \frac{1}{K_{IVa}^2} \right)^{0.5} $
III_i	catalytic inhibition	noncom-petitive inhibiton	$K_{IIII} = \frac{i}{V^0 / V' - 1} = \frac{i}{\frac{V^0 - V'}{V'}}$	$K_{IIII} = 1/\left(\frac{1}{K_{IIII}^2} + 0\right)^{0.5}$
IV_i	associa-tive inhibition	com-petetive inhibi- tion	$K_{IV7} = \frac{i}{K_{m}^{'}/K_{m}^{0}-1} = \frac{i}{\frac{K_{m}^{'}-K_{m}^{0}}{K_{m}^{0}}}$	$K_{IVi} = 1 / \left(\frac{1}{K_{IVi}^2} + 0\right)^{0.5}$
V_i	pseudoin-hibition		$K_{V_{i}} = i \left(\left(\frac{K_{m}^{'} - K_{m}^{0}}{K_{m}^{0}} \right)^{2} + \left(\frac{V^{'} - V^{0}}{V^{0}} \right)^{2} \right)^{0.5}$	$K_{Vi} = 1/\left(\frac{1}{K_{IIIa}^2} + \frac{1}{K_{IVi}^2}\right)^{0.5}$
VI _i	discoordi-nated inhibition		$K_{V_{ll}} = i / \left(\left(\frac{K_{m}^{0} - K_{m}^{\cdot}}{K_{m}^{\cdot}} \right)^{2} + \left(\frac{V^{0} - V^{\cdot}}{V^{\cdot}} \right)^{2} \right)^{0.5}$	$K_{VII} = 1/ \left(\frac{1}{K_{IIII}^2} + \frac{1}{K_{IVa}^2}\right)^{0.5}$
VII _i	transient inhibition		$K_{VIII} = i \left/ \left(\left(\frac{K_m^0 - K_m^{'}}{K_m^{'}} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{V^0 - V^{'}}{V^{'}} \right)^2 \right)^{0.5}$	$ \begin{pmatrix} K_{VIIi} = 1/\\ \left(\frac{1}{K_{IIIi}^2} + \frac{1}{K_{IIIi}^2}\right)^{0.5} $
I_0	initial (<i>i</i> = 0 and a = 0) enzymatic reaction			
VII _a	transient activation		$K_{Vlla} = a \left(\left(\frac{K_m^{'} - K_m^0}{K_m^0} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{V^{'} - V^0}{V^0} \right)^2 \right)^{0.5}$	$\left(\frac{1}{K_{IIIi}^2} + \frac{1}{K_{IIIi}^2}\right)^{0.5}$
VI _a	discoor-dinated activation		$K_{Vla} = a \left(\left(\frac{K_m - K_m^0}{K_m^0} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{V - V^0}{V^0} \right)^2 \right)^{0.5}$	$\left(\frac{1}{K_{IIIa}} + \frac{1}{K_{IVIa}^2}\right)^{0.5}$
V _a -	pseudo-activation		$K_{Va} = a \left(\left(\frac{K_m^0 - K_m'}{K_m'} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{V^0 - V'}{V'} \right)^2 \right)^{0.5}$	$ \begin{pmatrix} K_{Va} = 1/\\ \left(\frac{1}{K_{IIIi}^2} + \frac{1}{K_{IVa}^2}\right)^{0.5} $
IV_a	associa- tive activation	competi-tive activa-tion	$K_{IVa} = \frac{a}{K_{m}^{0}/K_{m}^{'}-1} = \frac{a}{\frac{K_{m}^{0}-K_{m}^{'}}{K_{m}^{'}}}$	$K_{IVa} = 1 \left(\frac{1}{K_{IVa}^2} + 0 \right)^{0.5}$
III_a	catalytic activation	noncom-petitve activa-tion	$K_{IIIa} = \frac{a}{V' / V^0 - 1} = \frac{a}{\frac{V' - V^0}{V^0}}$	$K_{IIIa} = 1/\left(\frac{1}{K_{IIIa}^2} + 0\right)^{0.5}$
II_a	unassocia-tive activation	uncom-petitive activa-tion	$K_{IIa} = a \left(\left(\frac{K_m - K_m^0}{K_m^0} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{V - V^0}{V^0} \right)^2 \right)^{0.5}$	$\left(\frac{1}{K_{IIa}^{2}} + \frac{1}{K_{IVI}^{2}}\right)^{0,5}$
I_a	bipara-metrically coordina-ted activation	mixed activa-tion	$K_{la} = a \left(\left(\frac{K_m^0 - K_m^{'}}{K_m^{'}} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{V^{'} - V^0}{V^0} \right)^2 \right)^{0.5}$	$\left(\frac{K_{Ia}}{\left(\frac{1}{K_{IIIa}^{2}} + \frac{1}{K_{IVa}^{2}}\right)^{0.5}}\right)^{0.5}$

Table 1 continued







Figure 2: Two-dimensional (scalar) $K_m^* V^*$ coordinate system. The symbols of kinetic parameters: K_m^* , V^* , R_m^0 ,..., the projections *Lli*, *LIVi*... *Lla*, *LIVa* of three-dimensional vectors: *Lli*, *LIVi*... *Lla*, *LIVa* on the basic σ 0 plane and symbols of PK_m^* , $P0_{V_*}$, $P0_$

also are the coordinate of these vectors) but in the same time they taking adjacent place relative to orthogonal L_{ii} projection of L_{ii} vector (Figure 2), determined by equation:

$$l_{I} = \sqrt{(l_{IIIi})^{2} + (l_{IVi})^{2}}$$
(1)

It is analogous for length of adjacent projections of L_{III} , L_{VI} ... and L_{Ia} , L_{IIa} , L_{Va} ... for all other L_{III} , L_{VI} ..., L_{Ia} , L_{IIa} , L_{Va} ... three-dimensional vectors of biparametrical reactions (Figure 2).

Having expressed from Eqn. (2)

$$l_{IIIi} = \frac{V^0 - V}{V} = \frac{i}{K_{IIIi}},$$
(2)

the l_{IIIi} length of dimensionless of L_{IIIi} projection of L_{IIIi} vector on $P0_V$ semiaxis of K'_m *V I* coordinate (Figure 1) and from Eqn. (3)

$$I_{IVi} = \frac{K_m^{-} - K_m^{0}}{K_m^{0}} = \frac{i}{K_{IVi}}$$
(3)

the l_{IVI} length of the second adjacent dimensionless of L_{IVI} vector projection on PK'_m semiaxis and substituted them in Eqn. (4):

$$K_{li} = \Pr_{P_l} L_{li} / \Pr_{\sigma_0} L_{li}, \tag{4}$$

we shall obtain traditional form (*t.f.*) of equation for calculation of the K_n constant of biparametrically coordinated, I_i type, inhibition of enzymes, taking in to consideration the l_{ii} length of orthogonal projection of L_{ii} vector on basic σ_0 plane of figure 1:

$$K_{fi} = \frac{I}{\left(\left(\frac{K_{m}^{'} - K_{m}^{0}}{K_{m}^{0}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{V^{0} - V^{'}}{V^{'}}\right)^{2}\right)^{0.5}}.$$
(5)

Similarly for deduction of all biparametrical equations of table 1 [5,7,8].

Deduction of quadratic form of equations

From analysis of equations (1 - 4) one can easily see that substitution in Eqn. (4) of the dimensionless coordinates of the lengths of L_{IIIi} and L_{IVI} vector projections is equal to substitution in this equation of the i/K_{IIIi} and i/K_{IVI} parameters

$$l_{\scriptscriptstyle H} = \sqrt{\left(rac{i}{K_{\scriptscriptstyle HH}}
ight)^2 + \left(rac{i}{K_{\scriptscriptstyle HV}}
ight)^2}$$
 ,

then it is not difficult to become the quadratic forms of equations for correction

(6)



of K_i and K_a constants of biparametrical types of inhibition and activation of enzymes (Table 1).

For example, such as:

$$l_{ii} = \frac{i_i}{K_i},\tag{7}$$

this substitution will leads to equation:

$$K_{Ii} = i/l_{Ii} = i/(i/\left(\frac{1}{K_{IIIi}^2} + \frac{1}{K_{IVi}^2}\right)^{0.5}) = 1/\left(\frac{1}{K_{IIIi}^2} + \frac{1}{K_{IVi}^2}\right)^{0.5},$$
(8)

or, in quadratic form:

$$\frac{1}{K_{I}^{2}} = \frac{1}{K_{IIIi}^{2}} + \frac{1}{K_{IVi}^{2}},$$
(9)

convenient for correction of *K*_h constant inhibition of enzymes (Eqn. 1, *q.f.*, Table 1).

It is analogous for all the other equations of biparametrical types of inhibition (Eqns. 2, 5 – 7), and activation (Eqns. 9 – 11 and 14, 15) of enzymes, (Table 1, *q.f.*) taking into account, orthogonal projections of tree-dimensional L vectors on the basic σ_0 plane of (Figure 1) by data analysis of correspond position two-dimensional scalar *L* projections of L vectors on these vectors in $K'_m V'$ coordinate system (Figure 2). For example, the orthogonal projection length of L_{la} vector of, I_a type, activation will be determined by analogous common equation (1, text) of enzyme activation that is located in the σ_0 plane of scalar $K'_m V'$ coordinate system (Figure 2, in IInd quadrant) and edged by two L_{IIIa} and L_{IVa} lengths of edged projection of this vector on the *PV'* and *PO*_{Km} semiaxes ($I_{la} = \sqrt{(I_{IIIa})^2 + (I_{IVa})^2}$),

a) in equation of l_{IIi} length projection – by two l_{IVa} and l_{IIIi} lengths of edged vector projections $(l_{IIi} = \sqrt{(l_{IIIi})^2 + (l_{IVa})^2});$

b) in equation of l_{v_i} length projection – l_{iv_i} and l_{illa} lengths of edged vector projections $(l_v = \sqrt{(l_{illa})^2 + (l_{iv_i})^2})$ and so on.

Examples of constants correction

Example 1: Calculation of K_n constant inhibition.

The inhibitory effect of Tungstic acid anions WO $_{4}^{2-}$ (0.5·10⁻⁴ M) on the initial rate of pNPP cleavage by calf alkaline phosphatase figure 3 shows that the presence 0.5·10⁻⁴ M of these anions in the enzyme-substrate system makes the binding of the enzyme to the substrate cleaved ($K_m^0 = 4.45 \cdot 10^{-5}$ M, $K_m = 6.56 \cdot 10^{-5}$ M) difficult and leads to a decrease in the maximum reaction rate ($V^0 = 2.56$, $V' = 1.74 \mu mol/(min per \mu g protein)$). This meets all the features ($K_m > K_m^0$, $V < V^0$, i > 0) of the biparametrically coordinated, I_i type, of enzyme inhibition (Table 1, line 1). Hence, to calculate the K_{ij} constant of this enzyme inhibition it is necessary to use Eqn. (5, text), or (Eqn. 1, *t.f.*, Table 1).

Substitution in this equation of the parameters K_m , K_m^0 , V, V^0 and *i* obtained by data analysis of (Figure 3) allows the calculation of this constant of enzyme inhibition:

$$K_{li} = \frac{0.5 \cdot 10^{-4} M}{\left(\left(\frac{6.56 - 4.45}{4.45} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{2.56 - 1.74}{1.74} \right)^2 \right)^{0.5}} = 7.48 \cdot 10^{-5} \,\mathrm{M}.$$
(10)

Substitution of these parameters rewritten to forms with (K_{IIIi} = 1.062 10⁻⁴ M, K_{IVi} = 1.055 10⁻⁴ M) in (Eqn. 1, *q.f.*, Table 1)

$$\frac{1}{K_I^2} = \frac{1}{K_{IIIi}^2} + \frac{1}{K_{IVi}^2} = \left(\frac{1}{1.062^2} + \frac{1}{1.055^2}\right),\tag{11}$$





Figure 3: Inhibitory effect of anions WO $_4^{2-}$ on the initial rate v_0 , µmol/(min per µg protein) of pNPP cleavage by calf alkaline phosphatase.

Note: line 1 – the concentration of WO $\frac{2^{-}}{4}$ is 0.5·10-4 M; line (0) – the inhibitor is absent.

result in to the same value of the constant of enzyme inhibition:

$$K_{II} = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{K_{III}^{2}} + \frac{1}{K_{III}^{2}}\right)^{0.5}} = \left(\frac{(K_{III}^{2} \cdot K_{III}^{2}) \cdot (10^{-4})^{2} \cdot (10^{-4})^{2}}{(K_{III}^{2} + K_{III}^{2}) \cdot (10^{-4})^{2}} \cdot \frac{M^{4}}{M^{2}}\right)^{0.5} = \sqrt{0.5602} \cdot \sqrt{(10^{-4})^{2}} \cdot \sqrt{M^{2}} = 0.7485 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot M.$$
(12)

From Eqns. (10 – 12) it follows that dimension of K_{li} constants in all cases, are the molar concentration of inhibitor:

$$K_{li} = \sqrt{i^4 / i^2} = i \,[\mathrm{M}]. \tag{13}$$

Correction. Determine the value of the K_{IVi} constant of this experiment (Figue 3) by values of K_{Ii} and K_{IIIi} constants.

From equation (11), rewritten to the form,

$$\left(\frac{1}{K_{I}^{2}} = \frac{1}{K_{III}^{2}} + \frac{1}{K_{III}^{2}}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{0.7485^{2}} = \frac{1}{K_{III}^{2}} + \frac{1}{1.062^{2}}\right),$$
(14)

it follows that:

$$K_{IVi} = \left(\frac{K_I^2 \cdot K_{IIIi}^2}{K_{IIIi}^2 - K_I^2}\right)^{0.5} 10^{-4} \,\mathrm{M}.$$
(15)

Substitution the necessary parameters from (Eqn. 14) to (Eqn. 15), we find that:

$$K_{IVi} = \left(\frac{0.7485^2 \cdot 1.062^2}{1.062^2 - 0.7485^2}\right)^{0.5} \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ M} = \left(\frac{0.5595 \cdot 1.1278}{1.1276 - 0.5595}\right)^{0.5} \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ M} = 1.11295^{0.5} \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ M} = 1.0549 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ M},$$
(16)

which is in good agreement with the experimental value of this constant (Eqn. 10).

Example 2: Calculation of *K*_{*vi*} constant inhibition.

The inhibitory effect of Pyrrolidine dithiocarbonic acid (PDTA) on the initial rate of pNPP cleavage by canine alkaline phosphatase shows that in the presence of 110^{-3} M PDTA the parameters $K_m^0 = 4.69 \cdot 10^{-5}$ M and $V^0 = 2.921 \mu mol/(min per \mu g protein)$ change as follows: $K_m = 11.26 \cdot 10^{-5}$ M and $V = 3.616 \mu mol/(min per \mu g protein)$ (Figure 4). This corresponds to the, V_i type, of enzyme pseudoinhibition ($K_m >$, V >, i > 0) (Table 1, line 5) and Eqn. (5, *t.f.*) is applicable for calculation of the K_{Vi} constant of enzyme





Figure 4: Inhibitory effect of PDTA on the initial rate v_0 , μ mol/(min per μ g protein) of pNPP cleavage by canine alkaline phosphatase.

Note: line 1 – the concentration of PDTA is 1 10³ M; line (0) – the inhibitor is absent.

inhibition. Substitution all necessary parameters in this equation allow calculation of this constant of enzyme inhibition:

$$K_{Vi} = \frac{1 \cdot 10^{-3} M}{\left(\left(\frac{11.26 - 4.69}{4.69}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{3.616 - 2.92}{2.92}\right)^2\right)^{0.5}} = 7.04 \cdot 10^{-4} \,\mathrm{M}.$$
 (17)

Substitution all necessary parameters from of recalculated parameters of (Figure 4) to (Eqn. 5, Table 1, *q.f.*) – result in to value of $K_{_{Vi}}$ constant inhibition:

$$\frac{1}{K_{V}^{2}} = \left(\frac{1}{K_{IIIa}^{2}} + \frac{1}{K_{IVI}^{2}}\right),\tag{18}$$

rewritten to the forms ($K_{IVi} = 0.714 \ 10^{-3} \text{ M}$ and $K_{IIIa} = 4.203 \ 10^{-3} \text{ M}$)

from which it follows that

$$K_{V_{I}} = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{K_{IIIai}^{2}} + \frac{1}{K_{IVI}^{2}}\right)^{0.5}} = \left(\frac{(K_{IVI}^{2} \cdot K_{IIIa}^{2}) \cdot (10^{-4})^{2} \cdot (10^{-4})^{2}}{(K_{IIIai}^{2} + K_{IVI}^{2}) \cdot (10^{-4})^{2}} \cdot \frac{M^{4}}{M^{2}}\right)^{0.5} = \left(\frac{0.51 \cdot 17.67}{0.51 + 17.67}\right)^{0.5} = \left(\frac{9.093}{18.175}\right)^{0.5} = \sqrt{0.496} = 0.7041 \cdot 10^{-3} \,\mathrm{M}.$$
(19)

Example 3: Calculation of K_{ν_a} constant activation.

The results of study presented in figure 5 show that: the parameters of initial nonactivated reaction of pNPP cleavage by alkaline phosphatase $K_m^0 = 5.45 \cdot 10^{-5}$ M, $V^0 = 9.363 \,\mu\text{mol}/(\text{min }\mu\text{g} \text{ protein})$ in the presence of 0.001 M of activator change as follows: $K_m^i = 3.47 \cdot 10^{-5}$ M, $V^i = 8.803 \,\mu\text{mol}/(\text{min }\mu\text{g} \text{ protein})$, which satisfies all the features of type V_a of enzyme pseudoactivation (line 11, Table 1).

Substitution of the experimental parameters of (Figure 5, in Eqn. 11, *t.f.*, Table 1) gives the following value of K_{ν_a} constant:

$$K_{Va} = \frac{1 \cdot 10^{-3} M}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{5.45 - 3.47}{3.47}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{9.363 - 8.803}{8.803}\right)^2}} = 1.74 \cdot 10^{-3} \,\mathrm{M},\tag{20}$$

or according Eq. 11, Table 1)

$$K_{Va} = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{K_{IIIa}^2} + \frac{1}{K_{IVi}^2}\right)^{0.5}} = \left(\frac{(K_{IVi}^2 \cdot K_{IIIa}^2) \cdot (10^{-4})^2 \cdot (10^{-4})^2}{(K_{IIIa}^2 + K_{IVi}^2) \cdot (10^{-4})^2} \cdot \frac{M^4}{M^2}\right)^{0.5} + \frac{1}{K_{IVi}^2} + \frac{1}{K$$

Published: October 30, 2018



$$\left(\frac{1.753^2 \cdot 15.72^2}{1.753^2 + 15.72^2}\right)^{0.5} \ 10^{-3} \,\mathrm{M} = \left(\frac{759.39}{258.19}\right)^{0.5} = 1.742 \cdot 10^{-3} \,\mathrm{M}.$$
(21)

Example 4: Calculate the value of K_{IIIi} constant of experiment (Figure 3), by value of K_{Ii} and K_{IVI} constants.

From equation (1, Table 1, *t.f.*), rewritten to the form (22)

$$\left(\frac{1}{K_{I}^{2}} = \frac{1}{K_{IIIi}^{2}} + \frac{1}{K_{IIIi}^{2}}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{0.7485^{2}} = \frac{1}{K_{IIIi}^{2}} + \frac{1}{1.055^{2}}\right),$$
(22)

it follows that:

$$K_{IV_{i}} = \left(\frac{K_{I}^{2} \cdot K_{III_{i}}^{2}}{K_{III_{i}}^{2} - K_{I}^{2}} \cdot M^{2}\right)^{0.5}.$$
(23)

Having substituted all necessary parameters from (Eqn. 22) into (Eqn. 23), the next value of this constant is received:

$$K_{IIIi} = \left[\left(\frac{0.748^2 \cdot 1.055^2}{1.055^2 - 0.748^2} \cdot (10^{-4})^2 \cdot M^2 \right) \right]^{0.5} = \left(\frac{0.5595 \cdot 1.113}{1.113 - 0.5595} \right)^{0.5} \cdot 10^{-4} \,\mathrm{M} = 1.125^{0.5} \cdot 10^{-4} \,\mathrm{M} = 1.061 \cdot 10^{-4} \,\mathrm{M}.$$
(24)

Example 5: Calculate the value of K_{IIIa} constant of experiment (Figure 5), by value of K_{Va} and K_{IVi} constants.

From equation (11, Table 1, *t.f.*), rewritten to the form (22)

$$\left(\frac{1}{K_{V_a}^2} = \frac{1}{K_{IIIa}^2} + \frac{1}{K_{IV_i}^2}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{1.742^2} = \frac{1}{K_{IIIa}^2} + \frac{1}{1.753^2}\right),\tag{25}$$

it follows that:

$$K_{IIIa} = \left(\frac{K_{Va}^2 \cdot K_{IVi}^2}{K_{IVi}^2 - K_{Va}^2} \cdot M^2\right)^{0.5} = \left(\frac{1.753^2 \cdot 1.742^2}{1.753^2 - 1.742^2}\right)^2 10^{-3} \,\mathrm{M} = \left(\frac{9.435}{0.038}\right)^{0.5} 10^{-3} \,\mathrm{M} = 245.4^{0.5} \,10^{-3} \,\mathrm{M} = 15.66 \,10^{-3} \,\mathrm{M}$$
(26)

It is no desirable to put $K_{va} = 1.74 \cdot 10^{-3}$ M from (Eqn. 20) in (Eqn. 25), because calculation leads to $K_{IIIa} = 14.43 \ 10^{-3}$ M (instead 15.66 10^{-3} M), such as the first constant is not in Pythagorean's «bundle» (Egn. 25).

It is analogous for all biparametrical types of catalyzed reactions (Table 1).



Figure 5: Activating effect of Guo on the initial rate V_0 , μ mol/(min per μ g protein) of pNPP cleavage by canine alkaline phosphatase.

Note: line 1 - the concentration of Guo is 1.10³ M; line (0) - the activator is absent.





Discussion

The analysis of data obtained shows that:

1) The values of the constants of biparametrical types of inhibition (Eqns. 1, 2, 5 – 7) and activation (Eqns. 9 – 11, 14, 15), are not subjected to additive dependencies on the values of the constants of monoparametrical types of inhibition (Eqns. 3, 4) and activation (Eqns. 12, 13) of the enzymes (Table 1);

$$K_{Ii} \neq K_{IVi} + K_{IIIi}.$$
(27)

They subjected to geometrical relationships (Pyphagorean theorem):

$$(1/K_{I})^{2} = (1/K_{IVi})^{2} + (1/K_{IIIi})^{2},$$
(28)

2) this opens an array of possibilities for calculation and correction of the values of K_i and K_a constants (Examples 1 – 4).

References

- Krupyanko VI. A vector method of representation of enzymic reactions. 3. Three-dimensional system
 of the K'_m V' I coordinates convenient for representation of enzyme inhibition and activation. Proc
 Biochem. 2004; 39: 825- 832. Ref.: https://goo.gl/dqMjBF
- Krupyanko VI. Perspectives of Data Analysis of Enzyme Inhibition and Activation. Part 1: Use of the Three-Dimensional K'_mV' I Coordinate System for Data Analysis of Enzyme Inhibition and Activation. J Biochem Mol Toxicol. 2009; 23: 97-100. Ref.: https://goo.gl/BK2nqc
- Krupyanko VI. Perspectives of Data Analysis of Enzyme Inhibition and Activation. Part 2: Parametrical Classification of Types of Enzymatic Reactions. J Biochem Mol Toxicol. 2009; 23: 101-107. Ref.: https://goo.gl/vRPeFp
- Krupyanko VI. Perspectives of data analysis of enzyme inhibition and activation, Part 3: Equations for calculation of the initial rates of enzymatic reactions. J Biochem Mol Toxicol. 2009; 23: 108-118.
 Ref.: https://goo.gl/yyowYP
- Krupyanko VI. Perspectives of Data Analysis of Enzyme Inhibition and Activation. Part 4: Equations for Calculation of Constants of Enzyme Activation and Inhibition. J Biochem Mol Toxicol. 2010; 24: 145-154. Ref.: https://goo.gl/F6m6Tf
- Krupyanko VI. Determination of intensity of enzyme inhibition and activation. Eur Chem Bull. 2014; 3: 582-586. Ref.: https://goo.gl/RxX2M2
- Krupyanko VI. Non-existence of secondary coordinates of intersects. Eur Chem Bull. 2014; 3: 815-822. Ref.: https://goo.gl/i8qkUU
- 8. Krupyanko VI. Correction of Dixon Plots. Eur Chem Bull. 2015; 4: 142-153. Ref.: https://goo.gl/4bfDVP
- 9. Krupyanko VI. Correction of Data Analysis Equations Relating to Two-Substrate Enzyme Catalyzed Reactions. Eur Chem Bull. 2016; 5: 354-363. Ref.: https://goo.gl/5P9gDz
- 10. Webb L. Enzyme and metabolic inhibitors. Moscow: Mir Publishers. 1963; 6-862. Ref.: https://goo.gl/Ae4JrU
- 11. Segel IH. Enzyme kinetics. J Wiley: New York. 1975; 3-957. Ref.: https://goo.gl/A54Kz6
- 12. Dixon M, Webb EC. Enzymes. Moscow: Mir Publishers. 1982; 2: 3-481.