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# Application of the Pyphagor's Theorem for Correction of $K_{i}$ and $K_{a}$ constants of enzyme inhibition and activation 
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#### Abstract

The analysis of dependence of the length projection of $L_{i}$ vectors of biparametrical inhibited and activated ( $L_{a}$ ) enzymatic reactions from the length projection of vectors of monoparametrical inhibited and activated enzymatic reactions on the basic $\sigma_{0}$ plane in three-dimensional $K_{m}^{\prime} V^{\prime} I$ coordinate system, allows to deduct the quadratic forms of equations for the correction of the constants of inhibition ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) and activation $\left(K_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ of enzymes. Examples of correction of constants are given.


## Introduction

The study inhibition of enzymes helps to synthesize the drugs from poisoning of living organisms.

In previous articles [1-9], devoted to construction of a vector method representation of enzymatic reactions in the three-dimensional $K_{m}^{\prime} V^{\prime}$ I coordinate system the properties of $L$ vectors of enzymatic reactions was analyzed, from which the parametriacal classification of the types of enzymatic reactions and the equations for calculation of initial activated $\left(v_{a}\right)$ and inhibited $\left(v_{i}\right)$ reaction rates was deduced. In articles [2-9] the equations of traditional form (t.f.) for calculation of the constants of activation ( $K_{a}$ ) and absent in practice the equations of nontrivial types of biparametrical constants of inhibition $\left(K_{i}\right)$ of enzymes (Table 1), was deduced [5].

This work is devoted to deduction of quadratic form (q.f.) of the equations for correction of biparametrical constants of inhibition $K_{i}$ and activation $K_{a}$ of enzymes (Table 1, q.f.), opening additional ability in the analysis of enzyme action what help of these equations.

The examples of comparative using traditional and quadratic form of equations for correction of $K_{i}$ and $K_{a}$ constants of enzyme inhibition and activation are given.

## Deduction of traditional form of equations

From Figures 1 and 2 it easy to see, that $\left(l_{I}\right)$ length of $\left(L_{l i}\right)$ projection of $L_{l i}$ vector of biparametrically coordinated, $I_{i}$ type (or mixed type [10-12] of enzyme inhibition) on $P_{i}$ semiaxis will be determined by difference: (i-0) parameters, The basic $\sigma 0$ plane (Figure 2), actually is orthogonal projection of three-dimensional L vectors of (Figure 1), i.e. the scalar magnitudes (orthogonal between them self) $L_{I I I I}$ and $L_{I V i}$ projections of monoparametrical $\mathrm{L}_{I I I}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{I V i}$ vectors of $I I I_{i}$ and $I V_{i}$ type of enzyme inhibition, (which

| No | Effect | Type of effect | Correlation between $K_{m}^{\prime}$ and $V^{\prime}$ parameters | Graphs in ( $\left.v_{o}^{-1} ; \mathrm{S}^{-1}\right)$ coordinates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Inhibition-$(i>0)$ | $I_{i}$ | $K_{m}^{\prime}>K_{m}^{0}, V^{\prime}<V^{0}$ |  |
| 2 |  | $I I_{i}$ | $\begin{aligned} & K_{m}^{\prime}<K_{m}^{0}, V^{\prime}<V^{0} \\ & \left(\operatorname{tg} \omega^{\prime}=\operatorname{tg} \omega^{0}\right) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 3 |  | $I I I_{i}$ | $K_{m}^{\prime}=K_{m}^{0}, V^{\prime}<V^{0}$ |  |
| 4 |  | $I V_{i}$ | $K_{m}^{\prime}>K_{m}^{0}, V^{\prime}=V^{0}$ |  |
| 5 |  | $V_{i}$ | $K_{m}^{\prime}>K_{m}^{0}, V^{\prime}>V^{0}$ |  |
| 6 |  | $V I_{i}$ | $\begin{aligned} & K_{m}^{\prime}<K_{m}^{0}, V^{\prime}<V^{0} \\ & \left(\operatorname{tg} \omega^{\prime}>\operatorname{tg} \omega^{0}\right) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 7 |  | $V I I_{i}$ | $\begin{aligned} & K_{m}^{\prime}<K_{m}^{0}, V^{\prime}<V^{0} \\ & \left(\operatorname{tg} \omega^{\prime}<\operatorname{tg} \omega^{0}\right) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 8 | None | $I_{0}$ | $K_{m}^{\prime}=K_{m}^{0}, V^{\prime}=V^{0}$ |  |
| 9 | Activation $(a>0)$ | $V I I_{a}$ | $\begin{aligned} & K_{m}^{\prime}>K_{m}^{0}, V^{\prime}>V^{0} \\ & \left(\operatorname{tg} \omega^{\prime}>\operatorname{tg} \omega^{0}\right) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 10 |  | $V I_{a}$ | $\begin{aligned} & K_{m}^{\prime}>K_{m}^{0}, V^{\prime}>V^{0} \\ & \left(\operatorname{tg} \omega^{\prime}<\operatorname{tg} \omega^{0}\right) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 11 |  | $V_{a}$ | $K_{m}^{\prime}<K_{m}^{0}, V^{\prime}<V^{0}$ |  |
| 12 |  | $I V_{a}$ | $K_{m}^{\prime}<K_{m}^{0}, V^{\prime}=V^{0}$ |  |
| 13 |  | $I I I_{a}$ | $K_{m}^{\prime}=K_{m}^{0}, V^{\prime}>V^{0}$ |  |
| 14 |  | $I I_{a}$ | $\begin{aligned} & K_{m}^{\prime}>K_{m}^{0}, V^{\prime}>V^{0} \\ & \left(\operatorname{tg} \omega^{\prime}=\operatorname{tg} \omega^{0}\right) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| *15 |  | $I_{a}$ | $K_{m}^{\prime}<K_{m}^{0}, V^{\prime}>V^{0}$ |  |

Table 1: Equations for calculation of $K_{i}$ and $K_{a}$ constants (in traditional form).
*The symbol of a graph in Figure. 1-15 corresponds to the type of reaction under study. For example: the line ( 0 ) characterizes the position of initial (nonactivated) enzymatic reaction, line I - the position of a graph representing the $I_{a}$ type of activated enzymatic reaction etc.

| $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Tppe } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { effect } \end{array}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { New name of enzy-matic } \\ \text { reactions }}}{\text { a }}$ | Traditio-nal name | Traditional form (t.f.) of equation for calculation of $K_{i}$ and $K_{a}$ constants | Quadratic form (q.f.) of equations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $I_{i}$ | bipara- <br> metrically coordina-ted inhibition | mixed inhibitition | $K_{l i}=i \prime\left(\left(\frac{K_{m}^{\prime}-K_{m}^{0}}{K_{m}^{0}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{V^{0}-V^{\prime}}{V^{\prime}}\right)^{2}\right)^{0.5}$ | $K_{l i}=1,\left(\frac{1}{K_{I I I}^{2}}+\frac{1}{K_{I V}^{2}}\right)^{0,5}$ |
| $I I_{i}$ | unassoci-ative inhibition | uncom-petitive inhibi- tion | $K_{l l i}=i \prime\left(\left(\frac{K_{m}^{0}-K_{m}^{\prime}}{K_{m}^{\prime}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{V^{0}-V^{\prime}}{V^{\prime}}\right)^{2}\right)^{0.5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & K_{I I I}=1 / \\ & \left(\frac{1}{K_{I I I I}^{2}}+\frac{1}{K_{I V a}^{2}}\right)^{0,5} \end{aligned}$ |
| $I I I_{i}$ | catalytic inhibition | noncom-petitive inhibiton | $K_{l u i}=\frac{i}{V^{0} / V^{\prime}-1}=\frac{i}{\frac{V^{0}-V^{\prime}}{V^{\prime}}}$ | $K_{l l i i}=1 /\left(\frac{1}{K_{I l i}^{2}}+0\right)^{0,5}$ |
| $V_{i}$ | associa-tive <br> inhibition | $\begin{aligned} & \text { com-petetive } \\ & \text { inhibi- } \\ & \text { tion } \end{aligned}$ | $K_{l v i}=\frac{i}{K_{m}^{\prime} / K_{m}^{0}-1}=\frac{i}{K_{m}^{\prime}-K_{m}^{0}} K_{m}^{K_{m}^{0}}$ | $K_{l V_{i}}=1\left(\frac{1}{K_{l V_{i}}^{2}}+0\right)^{0.5}$ |
| $V_{i}$ | pseuddoin-hibition |  | $K_{V_{i}}=i \prime\left(\left(\frac{K_{m}^{\prime}-K_{m}^{0}}{K_{m}^{0}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{V^{\prime}-V^{0}}{V^{0}}\right)^{2}\right)^{0.5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & K_{V i_{i}=1 /} \\ & \left(\frac{1}{K_{I I a}^{2}}+\frac{1}{K_{I V}^{2}}\right)^{0,5} \end{aligned}$ |
| $V I_{i}$ | discoordi-nated inhibition |  | $K_{V / I}=i \prime\left(\left(\frac{K_{m}^{0}-K_{m}^{\prime}}{K_{m}^{\prime}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{V^{0}-V^{\prime}}{V^{\prime}}\right)^{2}\right)^{0.5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & K_{V i=}=1 / \\ & \left(\frac{1}{K_{I I I}^{2}}+\frac{1}{K_{I V a}^{2}}\right)^{0.5} \end{aligned}$ |
| $V I I_{i}$ | transient innibition |  | $K_{\text {VII }}=i \prime\left(\left(\frac{K_{m}^{0}-K_{m}^{\prime}}{K_{m}^{\prime}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{V^{0}-V^{\prime}}{V^{\prime}}\right)^{2}\right)^{0.5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & K_{V I I I}=1 / \\ & \left(\frac{1}{K_{I I I}^{2}}+\frac{1}{K_{I V a}^{2}}\right)^{0.5} \end{aligned}$ |
| $I_{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { initial } \\ & (i=0 \text { and } \\ & a=0) \text { enzymatic reaction } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| $V I I_{a}$ | transient activation |  | $K_{\text {VIIa }}=a \prime\left(\left(\frac{K_{m}^{\prime}-K_{m}^{0}}{K_{m}^{0}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{V^{\prime}-V^{0}}{V^{0}}\right)^{2}\right)^{0.5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & K_{\text {VIIa }}=1 / \\ & \left(\frac{1}{K_{I I I I}^{2}}+\frac{1}{K_{I V i}^{2}}\right)^{0,5} \end{aligned}$ |
| $V I_{a}$ | discoor-dinated activation |  | $K_{V / I a}=a \prime\left(\left(\frac{K_{m}^{\prime}-K_{m}^{0}}{K_{m}^{0}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{V^{\prime}-V^{0}}{V^{0}}\right)^{2}\right)^{0.5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & K_{V I a}=1 / \\ & \left(\frac{1}{K_{I I l a}}+\frac{1}{K_{I V i}^{2}}\right)^{0,5} \end{aligned}$ |
| $V_{a}$. | pseudd-ativation |  | $K_{V a}=a \prime\left(\left(\frac{K_{m}^{0}-K_{m}^{\prime}}{K_{m}^{\prime}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{V^{0}-V^{\prime}}{V^{\prime}}\right)^{2}\right)^{0.5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & K_{V a}=1 / \\ & \left(\frac{1}{K_{I l i}^{2}}+\frac{1}{K_{I V a}^{2}}\right)^{0,5} \end{aligned}$ |
| $I_{a}$ | associa <br> activation | competi-tive activa-tion | $K_{V V a}=\frac{a}{K_{m}^{0} / K_{m}^{\prime}-1}=\frac{a}{\frac{K_{m}^{0}-K_{m}^{\prime}}{K_{m}^{\prime}}}$ | $K_{I V a}=1 /\left(\frac{1}{K_{I V a}^{2}}+0\right)^{0.5}$ |
| $I I I_{a}$ | catalytic activation | noncom-petitve <br> activa-tion | $K_{\text {IHIa }}=\frac{a}{V^{\prime} / V^{0}-1}=\frac{a}{\frac{V^{\prime}-V^{0}}{V^{0}}}$ | $K_{\text {III }}=1 /\left(\frac{1}{K_{\text {IIIa }}^{2}}+0\right)^{0.5}$ |
| $I I_{a}$ | unassocia-tive <br> activation | uncom-petitive <br> activa-tion | $K_{l a}=a l\left(\left(\frac{K_{m}^{\prime}-K_{m}^{0}}{K_{m}^{0}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{V^{\prime}-V^{0}}{V^{0}}\right)^{2}\right)^{0.5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & K_{I J a}=1 / \\ & \left(\frac{1}{K_{I l a}^{2}}+\frac{1}{K_{I V i}^{2}}\right)^{0.5} \end{aligned}$ |
| $I_{a}$ | bipara-metrically coordina-ted activation | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { mixed } \\ \text { activation }}}_{\text {mixed }}$ | $K_{l a}=a \prime\left(\left(\frac{K_{m}^{0}-K_{m}^{\prime}}{K_{m}^{\prime}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{V^{\prime}-V^{0}}{V^{0}}\right)^{2}\right)^{0.5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & K_{l a=1 /} \\ & \left(\frac{1}{K_{\text {Illa }}^{2}}+\frac{1}{K_{I V a}^{2}}\right)^{0,5} \end{aligned}$ |

## Table 1 continued



Figure 1: Three-dimensional (packed) $K_{m}^{\prime} V^{\prime} I$ system of rectangular coordinates with coincident $P_{i}$ and $P_{\mathrm{a}}$ semiaxes of molar concentrations of inhibitor $i$ and activator $a$. The symbols of kinetic parameters: $K_{m}^{\prime}, V$, three-dimensional vectors:
 directing planes $\sigma_{N V^{\prime}} \sigma_{I I I^{\prime}} \sigma_{V \mathrm{Va}^{\prime}} \sigma_{I I \mathrm{a}}$ on the $P K_{m}^{\prime}, P 0_{V^{\prime}}, P 0_{K_{m}^{\prime}}$ and $P V^{\prime}$ coordinate semiaxes the same as in the text.


Figure 2: Two-dimensional (scalar) $K_{m}^{\prime} V^{\prime}$ coordinate system. The symbols of kinetic parameters: $K_{m}^{\prime}, V^{\prime}, K_{m}^{0} \ldots$, the projections LIi, LIVi... Lla, LIVa of three-dimensional vectors: LIi, LIVi... LIa, LIVa on the basic $\sigma 0$ plane and symbols of $P K_{m}^{\prime}, P 0_{V}, P 0_{K_{m}}$ and $P V^{\prime}$ coordinate semiaxes the same as in Figure 1 and in the text.
also are the coordinate of these vectors) but in the same time they taking adjacent place relative to orthogonal $L_{l i}$ projection of $L_{l i}$ vector (Figure 2), determined by equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{I}=\sqrt{\left(l_{I I I i}\right)^{2}+\left(l_{I V i}\right)^{2}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is analogous for length of adjacent projections of $L_{I I I}, L_{V i} \ldots$ and $L_{I a^{\prime}} L_{I I a^{\prime}} L_{V a} \ldots$ for all other $\mathrm{L}_{I I I}, \mathrm{~L}_{V i} \ldots, \mathrm{~L}_{I a}, \mathrm{~L}_{I I a}, \mathrm{~L}_{V a} \ldots$ three-dimensional vectors of biparametrical reactions (Figure 2).

Having expressed from Eqn. (2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{I I I i}=\frac{V^{0}-\dot{V}}{V^{\prime}}=\frac{i}{K_{I I I i}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the $l_{I I I I}$ length of dimensionless of $L_{I I I I}$ projection of $\mathrm{L}_{I I I}$ vector on $P 0_{V}$ semiaxis of $K_{m}^{\prime}$ $V^{\prime} I$ coordinate (Figure 1) and from Eqn. (3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{I V i}=\frac{K_{m}^{\prime}-K_{m}^{0}}{K_{m}^{0}}=\frac{i}{K_{I V i}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

the $l_{I V i}$ length of the second adjacent dimensionless of $L_{I V i}$ vector projection on $P K^{\prime}{ }_{m}$ semiaxis and substituted them in Eqn. (4):

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{I i}=\operatorname{Pr}_{p_{i}} \mathrm{~L}_{l i} / \operatorname{Pr}_{\sigma_{0}} \mathrm{~L}_{l i{ }^{\prime}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we shall obtain traditional form (t.f.) of equation for calculation of the $K_{i i}$ constant of biparametrically coordinated, $I_{i}$ type, inhibition of enzymes, taking in to consideration the $l_{l i}$ length of orthogonal projection of $\mathrm{L}_{l i}$ vector on basic $\sigma_{0}$ plane of figure 1 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{I i}=\frac{i}{\left(\left(\frac{K_{m}^{\prime}-K_{m}^{0}}{K_{m}^{0}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{V^{0}-V}{V}\right)^{2}\right)^{0.5}} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly for deduction of all biparametrical equations of table 1 [5,7,8].

## Deduction of quadratic form of equations

From analysis of equations (1-4) one can easily see that substitution in Eqn. (4) of the dimensionless coordinates of the lengths of $L_{I I I}$ and $L_{I V i}$ vector projections is equal to substitution in this equation of the i/ $K_{I I I}$ and $i / K_{I V i}$ parameters

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{I i}=\sqrt{\left(\frac{i}{K_{I I I i}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{i}{K_{I V i}}\right)^{2}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then it is not difficult to become the quadratic forms of equations for correction
of $K_{i}$ and $K_{a}$ constants of biparametrical types of inhibition and activation of enzymes (Table 1).

For example, such as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{l i}=\frac{i_{I}}{K_{I}}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

this substitution will leads to equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{I i}=i / l_{I I}=i /\left(i /\left(\frac{1}{K_{I I I}^{2}}+\frac{1}{K_{I V i}^{2}}\right)^{0,5}\right)=1 /\left(\frac{1}{K_{I l i}^{2}}+\frac{1}{K_{I V i}^{2}}\right)^{0,5} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, in quadratic form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{K_{i}^{2}}=\frac{1}{K_{I I i}^{2}}+\frac{1}{K_{I V i}^{2}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

convenient for correction of $K_{I I}$ constant inhibition of enzymes (Eqn. 1, q.f., Table 1).
It is analogous for all the other equations of biparametrical types of inhibition (Eqns. 2, 5-7), and activation (Eqns. $9-11$ and 14, 15) of enzymes, (Table 1, q.f.) taking into account, orthogonal projections of tree-dimensional $L$ vectors on the basic $\sigma_{0}$ plane of (Figure 1) by data analysis of correspond position two-dimensional scalar $L$ projections of L vectors on these vectors in $K_{m}{ }_{m} V^{\prime}$ coordinate system (Figure 2). For example, the orthogonal projection length of $\mathrm{L}_{l a}$ vector of, $I_{a}$ type, activation will be determined by analogous common equation (1, text) of enzyme activation that is located in the $\sigma_{0}$ plane of scalar $K_{m}^{\prime} V^{\prime}$ coordinate system (Figure 2, in $\mathrm{II}^{\text {nd }}$ quadrant) and edged by two $L_{I I I_{a}}$ and $L_{I V_{a}}$ lengths of edged projection of this vector on the $P V^{\prime}$ and $P 0_{K m}$ semiaxes $\left(l_{l a}=\sqrt{\left(l_{I I I a}\right)^{2}+\left(l_{I V a}\right)^{2}}\right)$,
a) in equation of $l_{I I I}$ length projection - by two $l_{I V a}$ and $l_{I I I I}$ lengths of edged vector projections $\left(l_{I I}=\sqrt{\left(l_{I I I}\right)^{2}+\left(l_{I V a}\right)^{2}}\right)$;
b) in equation of $l_{V i}$ length projection $-l_{I V i}$ and $l_{I I I a}$ lengths of edged vector projections $\left(l_{V}=\sqrt{\left(l_{I I I}\right)^{2}+\left(l_{I V i}\right)^{2}}\right)$ and so on.

## Examples of constants correction

Example 1: Calculation of $K_{I I}$ constant inhibition.
The inhibitory effect of Tungstic acid anions $\mathrm{WO}_{4}^{2-}\left(0.5 \cdot 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}\right)$ on the initial rate of pNPP cleavage by calf alkaline phosphatase figure 3 shows that the presence $0.5 \cdot 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$ of these anions in the enzyme-substrate system makes the binding of the enzyme to the substrate cleaved ( $K_{m}^{0}=4.45 \cdot 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}, K_{m}^{\prime}=6.56 \cdot 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ ) difficult and leads to a decrease in the maximum reaction rate $\left(V^{0}=2.56, V^{\prime}=1.74 \mu \mathrm{~mol} /(\mathrm{min}\right.$ per $\mu \mathrm{g}$ protein). This meets all the features ( $K_{m}^{\prime}>K_{m}^{0}, V^{\dot{\prime}}<V^{0}, i>0$ ) of the biparametrically coordinated, $I_{i}$ type, of enzyme inhibition (Table 1, line 1). Hence, to calculate the $K_{l j}$ constant of this enzyme inhibition it is necessary to use Eqn. (5, text), or (Eqn. 1, t.f., Table 1).

Substitution in this equation of the parameters $K_{m}^{\prime}, K_{m}^{0}, V^{\prime}, V^{0}$ and $i$ obtained by data analysis of (Figure 3) allows the calculation of this constant of enzyme inhibition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\text {Ii }}=\frac{0.5 \cdot 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}}{\left(\left(\frac{6.56-4.45}{4.45}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{2.56-1.74}{1.74}\right)^{2}\right)^{0.5}}=7.48 \cdot 10^{-5} \mathrm{M} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitution of these parameters rewritten to forms with $\left(K_{I I I i}=1.06210^{-4} \mathrm{M}, K_{I V i}\right.$ $=1.05510^{-4} \mathrm{M}$ ) in (Eqn. 1, q.f., Table 1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{K_{i}^{2}}=\frac{1}{K_{I I I}^{2}}+\frac{1}{K_{I V i}^{2}}=\left(\frac{1}{1.062^{2}}+\frac{1}{1.055^{2}}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 3: Inhibitory effect of anions $\mathrm{WO}_{4}^{2-}$ on the initial rate $\nu_{0}, \mu \mathrm{~mol} /(\mathrm{min}$ per $\mu \mathrm{g}$ protein) of pNPP cleavage by calf alkaline phosphatase.
Note: line 1 - the concentration of WO $\begin{aligned} & 2- \\ & 4\end{aligned}$ is $0.5 \cdot 10-4 \mathrm{M}$; line $(0)$ - the inhibitor is absent.
result in to the same value of the constant of enzyme inhibition:
$K_{l i}=\frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{K_{I I I}^{2}}+\frac{1}{K_{I V I}^{2}}\right)^{0.5}}=\left(\frac{\left(K_{I V}^{2} \cdot K_{I I I}^{2}\right) \cdot\left(10^{-4}\right)^{2} \cdot\left(10^{-4}\right)^{2}}{\left(K_{I I I}^{2}+K_{I V I}^{2}\right) \cdot\left(10^{-4}\right)^{2}} \cdot \frac{M^{4}}{M^{2}}\right)^{0.5}=$
$\sqrt{0.5602} \cdot \sqrt{\left(10^{-4}\right)^{2}} \cdot \sqrt{M^{2}}=0.7485 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot \mathrm{M}$.
From Eqns. $(10-12)$ it follows that dimension of $K_{I i}$ constants in all cases, are the molar concentration of inhibitor:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{I i}=\sqrt{i^{4} / i^{2}}=i[\mathrm{M}] . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Correction. Determine the value of the $K_{I V i}$ constant of this experiment (Figue 3) by values of $K_{I I}$ and $K_{I I I I}$ constants.

From equation (11), rewritten to the form,
$\left(\frac{1}{K_{i}^{2}}=\frac{1}{K_{I I I}^{2}}+\frac{1}{K_{I V i}^{2}}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{0.7485^{2}}=\frac{1}{K_{I V i}^{2}}+\frac{1}{1.062^{2}}\right)$,
it follows that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{I V i}=\left(\frac{K_{i}^{2} \cdot K_{I I I}^{2}}{K_{I I I}^{2}-K_{I}^{2}}\right)^{0.5} 10^{-4} \mathrm{M} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitution the necessary parameters from (Eqn. 14) to (Eqn. 15), we find that:

$$
K_{I V i}=\left(\frac{0.7485^{2} \cdot 1.062^{2}}{1.062^{2}-0.7485^{2}}\right)^{0.5} \cdot 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}=\left(\frac{0.5595 \cdot 1.1278}{1.1276-0.5595}\right)^{0.5} \cdot 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}=
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
1.11295^{0,5} \cdot 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}=1.0549 \cdot 10^{-4} \mathrm{M} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is in good agreement with the experimental value of this constant (Eqn. 10).
Example 2: Calculation of $K_{V i}$ constant inhibition.
The inhibitory effect of Pyrrolidine dithiocarbonic acid (PDTA) on the initial rate of pNPP cleavage by canine alkaline phosphatase shows that in the presence of $110^{-3}$ M PDTA the parameters $K_{m}^{0}=4.69 \cdot 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ and $V^{0}=2.921 \mu \mathrm{~mol} /(\min$ per $\mu$ g protein) change as follows: $K_{m}^{\prime}=11.26 \cdot 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ and $V^{\prime}=3.616 \mu \mathrm{~mol} /(m i n ~ p e r ~ \mu \mathrm{~g}$ protein) (Figure 4). This corresponds to the, $V_{i}$ type, of enzyme pseudoinhibition ( $K_{m}^{\prime}>, V>, i>0$ ) (Table 1, line 5) and Eqn. (5, t.f.) is applicable for calculation of the $K_{V i}$ constant of enzyme


Figure 4: Inhibitory effect of PDTA on the initial rate $v_{0}, \mu \mathrm{~mol} /($ min per $\mu \mathrm{g}$ protein) of pNPP cleavage by canine alkaline phosphatase.
Note: line 1 - the concentration of PDTA is $1 \cdot 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$; line ( 0 ) - the inhibitor is absent.
inhibition. Substitution all necessary parameters in this equation allow calculation of this constant of enzyme inhibition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{V i}=\frac{1 \cdot 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}}{\left(\left(\frac{11.26-4.69}{4.69}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{3.616-2.92}{2.92}\right)^{2}\right)^{0.5}}=7.04 \cdot 10^{-4} \mathrm{M} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitution all necessary parameters from of recalculated parameters of (Figure 4) to (Eqn. 5, Table 1, q.f.) - result in to value of $K_{V i}$ constant inhibition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{K_{V}^{2}}=\left(\frac{1}{K_{I I a}^{2}}+\frac{1}{K_{I V i}^{2}}\right), \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

rewritten to the forms ( $K_{I V i}=0.71410^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ and $K_{I I I a}=4.20310^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ )
from which it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{V i}=\frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{K_{I l a i}^{2}}+\frac{1}{K_{I V i}^{2}}\right)^{0.5}}=\left(\frac{\left(K_{I V i}^{2} \cdot K_{I I I}^{2}\right) \cdot\left(10^{-4}\right)^{2} \cdot\left(10^{-4}\right)^{2}}{\left(K_{I I I i}^{2}+K_{I V I}^{2}\right) \cdot\left(10^{-4}\right)^{2}} \cdot \frac{M^{4}}{M^{2}}\right)^{0.5}= \\
& \left(\frac{0.51 \cdot 17.67}{0.51+17.67}\right)^{0.5}=\left(\frac{9.093}{18.175}\right)^{0.5}=\sqrt{0.496}=0.7041 \cdot 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Example 3: Calculation of $K_{V a}$ constant activation.
The results of study presented in figure 5 show that: the parameters of initial nonactivated reaction of pNPP cleavage by alkaline phosphatase $K_{m}^{0}=5.45 \cdot 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}, V^{0}=$ $9.363 \mu \mathrm{~mol} /(\min \mu \mathrm{g}$ protein) in the presence of 0.001 M of activator change as follows: $K_{m}^{\prime}=3.47 \cdot 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}, V^{\prime}=8.803 \mu \mathrm{~mol} /(\min \mu \mathrm{g}$ protein), which satisfies all the features of type $V_{a}$ of enzyme pseudoactivation (line 11, Table 1).

Substitution of the experimental parameters of (Figure 5, in Eqn. 11, t.f., Table 1) gives the following value of $K_{V a}$ constant:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{V a}=\frac{1 \cdot 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{5.45-3.47}{3.47}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{9.363-8.803}{8.803}\right)^{2}}}=1.74 \cdot 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

or according Eq. 11, Table 1)
$K_{V a}=\frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{K_{I I a}^{2}}+\frac{1}{K_{I V i}^{2}}\right)^{0.5}}=\left(\frac{\left(K_{I V i}^{2} \cdot K_{I I a}^{2}\right) \cdot\left(10^{-4}\right)^{2} \cdot\left(10^{-4}\right)^{2}}{\left(K_{I I a}^{2}+K_{I V_{i}}^{2}\right) \cdot\left(10^{-4}\right)^{2}} \cdot \frac{M^{4}}{M^{2}}\right)^{0.5}=$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1.753^{2} \cdot 15.72^{2}}{1.753^{2}+15.72^{2}}\right)^{0.5} \quad 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}=\left(\frac{759.39}{258.19}\right)^{0.5}=1.742 \cdot 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 4: Calculate the value of $K_{I I I I}$ constant of experiment (Figure 3), by value of $K_{I i}$ and $K_{I V i}$ constants.

From equation (1, Table 1, t.f.), rewritten to the form (22)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{K_{i}^{2}}=\frac{1}{K_{I I I}^{2}}+\frac{1}{K_{I V i}^{2}}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{0.7485^{2}}=\frac{1}{K_{I I I}^{2}}+\frac{1}{1.055^{2}}\right), \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{I V i}=\left(\frac{K_{i}^{2} \cdot K_{I I I}^{2}}{K_{I I I}^{2}-K_{I}^{2}} \cdot M^{2}\right)^{0,5} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Having substituted all necessary parameters from (Eqn. 22) into (Eqn. 23), the next value of this constant is received:

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{I I I I}=\left[\left(\frac{0.748^{2} \cdot 1.055^{2}}{1.055^{2}-0.748^{2}} \cdot\left(10^{-4}\right)^{2} \cdot M^{2}\right)\right]^{0,5}=\left(\frac{0.5595 \cdot 1.113}{1.113-0.5595}\right)^{0,5} \cdot 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}= \\
& 1.125^{0.5} \cdot 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}=1.061 \cdot 10^{-4} \mathrm{M} . \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Example 5: Calculate the value of $K_{I I I a}$ constant of experiment (Figure 5), by value of $K_{V a}$ and $K_{I V i}$ constants.

From equation (11, Table 1, t.f.), rewritten to the form (22)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{K_{V a}^{2}}=\frac{1}{K_{I I a}^{2}}+\frac{1}{K_{I V i}^{2}}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{1.742^{2}}=\frac{1}{K_{I I a}^{2}}+\frac{1}{1.753^{2}}\right), \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{I I I a}=\left(\frac{K_{V a}^{2} \cdot K_{I V i}^{2}}{K_{I V i}^{2}-K_{V a}^{2}} \cdot M^{2}\right)^{0.5}=\left(\frac{1.753^{2} \cdot 1.742^{2}}{1.753^{2}-1.742^{2}}\right)^{2} 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}= \\
& \left(\frac{9.435}{0.038}\right)^{0.5} 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}=245.4^{0.5} 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}=15.6610^{-3} \mathrm{M} \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

It is no desirable to put $K_{V a}=1.74 \cdot 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ from (Eqn. 20) in (Eqn. 25), because calculation leads to $K_{I I I a}=14.4310^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ (instead $15.6610^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ ), such as the first constant is not in Pythagorean's «bundle» (Egn. 25).

It is analogous for all biparametrical types of catalyzed reactions (Table 1).


Figure 5: Activating effect of Guo on the initial rate $V_{0}, \mu \mathrm{~mol} /($ min per $\mu \mathrm{g}$ protein) of pNPP cleavage by canine alkaline phosphatase.
Note: line 1 - the concentration of Guo is $1 \cdot 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$; line ( 0 ) - the activator is absent.

## Discussion

The analysis of data obtained shows that:

1) The values of the constants of biparametrical types of inhibition (Eqns. 1, 2, 5 7) and activation (Eqns. $9-11,14,15$ ), are not subjected to additive dependencies on the values of the constants of monoparametrical types of inhibition (Eqns. 3, 4) and activation (Eqns. 12, 13) of the enzymes (Table 1);

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{I i} \neq K_{I V i}+K_{I I I} . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

They subjected to geometrical relationships (Pyphagorean theorem):
$\left(1 / K_{i}\right)^{2}=\left(1 / K_{I V i}\right)^{2}+\left(1 / K_{I I I}\right)^{2}$,
2) this opens an array of possibilities for calculation and correction of the values of $K_{i}$ and $K_{a}$ constants (Examples 1-4).
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