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#### Abstract

This review covers over one hundred $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes of the compositions $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}^{1} \mathrm{X}^{1} \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{Y})$, $\left(X^{1}=O^{1} L, N^{1} L, C^{1} L, B^{1} L, S^{1} L\right.$ or $\mathrm{Si}^{1} L$ ) and ( $Y=H, F, C I, B r, I, O^{2} L, N^{2} L, C^{2} L$, or $\left.P^{3} L\right)$. These complexes crystallized in five crystal classes: monoclinic ( 60 examples), triclinic ( 36 examples), orthorhombic ( 13 examples), trigonal (1 example) and tetragonal ( 1 example). Each heterotridentate organodiphosphine creates two metallocyclic rings with a common $\mathrm{X}^{1}$ atom. There are fourteen types of metallocycles from which the $\mathrm{P}^{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{X}^{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}^{2}$ is most common. The structural parameters (Pt-L, L-Pt-L) are analyzed and discussed with attention to the distortion of a square-planar geometry about the $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ atoms as well as of trans-influence.
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## Introduction

The high affinity of the $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ ion for phosphorus enables it to bind effectively to organophosphines. Organophosphines as a soft P-donor ligand are very useful for binding a wide variety of platinum complexes. Much attention was paid to organomonophosphines in the chemistry of platinum. There are numerous structural studies of such complexes, which were classified and analyzed [1]. There are also numerous structures of platinum complexes with bidentate organodiphosphines, which were also classified and analyzed [2].

In another the previous reviews we dealt with fourcoordinate $\operatorname{Pt}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}^{1} \mathrm{X}^{1} \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{Y}),\left(\mathrm{X}^{1}=0^{1} \mathrm{~L}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{N}^{1} \mathrm{~L}\right)$ derivatives [3], $\operatorname{Pt}\left(\eta^{3}-P^{1} \mathrm{C}^{1} \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{Y})$ derivatives (Melník and Mikuš in press) [4] and $\operatorname{Pt}\left(\eta^{3}-P^{1} X^{1} P^{2}\right)(Y),\left(X^{1}=B^{1} L, S^{1} L\right.$, or $\left.\mathrm{Si}^{1} \mathrm{~L}\right)$ derivatives (Melník and Mikuš in press) [5].

The aim of this survey is to correlate the structural parameters for $\operatorname{Pt}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}^{1} \mathrm{X}^{1} \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{Y}),\left(\mathrm{X}^{1}=\mathrm{O}^{1} \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{~N}^{1} \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{C}^{1} \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{~B}^{1} \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{~S}^{1} \mathrm{~L}\right.$, or $\left.\mathrm{Si}^{1} \mathrm{~L}\right),\left(\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{O}^{2} \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{~N}^{2} \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right.$, or $\left.\mathrm{P}^{3} \mathrm{~L}\right)$ derivatives with variable combinations of metallocycles and their influence on the distortion of square-planar geometry, as well as trans-influence of the respective donor atoms.

## Results and discussion

There are over one hundred $\operatorname{Pt}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}^{1} \mathrm{X}^{1} \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{Y})$ derivatives.

These complexes are formed by the combination of heterotridentate organodiphosphines and $\eta^{1-}-Y$ atoms/ ligands. Each heterotridentate ligand forms two metallocyclic rings with a common $\mathrm{X}^{1}$ atom. The complexes can be divided into two main groups, one in which $\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}^{1} \mathrm{X}^{1} \mathrm{P}^{2}$ ligands create a pair of 'equal' metallocyclic rings and in another one 'dissimilar' ring. There are nine types of 'equal' and five types of 'dissimilar' rings. Selected structural parameters of the complexes from the view of respective metallocyclic rings are given in Table 1A and Table 1B. These complexes crystallized in five crystal classes: monoclinic ( 60 examples), triclinic (36 examples), orthorhombic (13 examples), trigonal (1 example) and tetragonal (1 example).

Each heterotridentate organodiphosphine ligand creates two-metallocyclic rings with a common $\mathrm{X}^{1}$ atom. There are at least two contributing factors to the size of the L-Pt-L chelate bond angles both ligand-based. One is the steric constraints imposed by the ligand and the other is the need to accommodate the imposed ring size. The effect of both steric and electronic factors can be seen from the values of the L-Pt-L chelate angles. These angles open in the sequences (mean values):

## A. Table 1A

| Table 1A |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}^{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{X}^{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{Y})$ : | $\mathrm{X}^{1}=\mathrm{O}^{1}, 81.6( \pm 9)^{\circ}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{P}^{3} \mathrm{ph}_{3} ; 1$ example; |
| ( $5+5$ metallocycles) | $\mathrm{X}^{1}=\mathrm{C}^{1}, 82.7( \pm 2.8)^{\circ}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{NI}, \mathrm{Ol}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{C}^{2} ; 31$ examples; |
|  | $\mathrm{X}^{1}=\mathrm{N}^{1}, 83.2( \pm 1.9)^{\circ}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{~N}^{2} \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{P}^{2} \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{CL} ; 19$ examples; |
|  | $\mathrm{X}^{1}=\mathrm{Si}^{1}, 83.9( \pm 4.1)^{\circ}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{OL}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{NL}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CL} ; 13$ examples; |
| Pt( $\left.\mathrm{n}^{3}-\mathrm{P}^{1} O C X^{1} \mathrm{COP}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{Y})$ : | $\mathrm{X}^{1}=\mathrm{C}^{1}, 80.6( \pm 8)^{\circ}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{I} ; 10$ examples; |
| (5+5 metallocycles) | $\mathrm{X}^{1}=\mathrm{N}^{1}, 82.0( \pm 4)^{\circ}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{CL} ; 3$ examples; |
| $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{n}^{3}-\mathrm{P}^{1} \mathrm{NCX}^{1} \mathrm{CNP}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{Y})$ : | $\mathrm{X}^{1}=\mathrm{N}^{1}, 81.1( \pm 1)^{\circ}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Cl} ; 1$ example; |
| (5+5 metallocycles) | $\mathrm{X}^{1}=\mathrm{C}^{1}, 82.2( \pm 4)^{\circ}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Br} ; 1$ example; |
| $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{n}^{3}-\mathrm{P}^{1} \mathrm{CNX}^{1} \mathrm{NCP}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{Y})$ : | $\mathrm{X}^{1}=\mathrm{B}^{1}, 79.1( \pm 4)^{\circ}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{OI}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{H} ; 4$ examples; |
| (5+5 metallocycles) | $\mathrm{X}^{1}=\mathrm{Si}^{1}, 84.8( \pm 1.1)^{\circ}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Cl} ; 1$ example; |
| $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}^{1} \mathrm{CPX}{ }^{1} \mathrm{PCP}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{Y})$ : | $\mathrm{X}^{1}=\mathrm{C}^{1}, 88.5$ ( $\left.\pm 1.9\right)^{\circ}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Cl} ; 2$ examples; |
| ( $5+5$ metallocycles) |  |
| $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{n}^{3}-\mathrm{P}^{1} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{X}^{1} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{Y})$ : | $\mathrm{X}^{1}=\mathrm{C}^{1}, 87.4( \pm 1.0)^{\circ}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Br} ; 1$ example; |
| (6+6 metallocycles) | $\mathrm{X}^{1}=\mathrm{Si}^{1}, 89.8( \pm 6)^{\circ}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Cl} ; 1$ example; |
| $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}^{1} \mathrm{NC}_{2} \mathrm{X}^{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{NP}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{Y})$ : | $\mathrm{X}^{1}=\mathrm{N}^{1}, 83.9( \pm 1.0)^{\circ}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{H} ; 1$ example; |
| ( $6+6$ metallocycles) | $\mathrm{X}^{1}=\mathrm{C}^{1}, 84.6( \pm 6)^{\circ}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Cl} ; 1$ example; |
| $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}^{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{NX}^{1} \mathrm{NC}_{2} \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{Y})$ : | $\mathrm{X}^{1}=\mathrm{C}^{1}, 87.7( \pm 1.7)^{\circ}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl} ; 2$ examples; |
| ( $6+6$ metallocycles) |  |
| $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}^{1} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{X}^{1} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{Y})$ : | $\mathrm{X}^{1}=\mathrm{O}^{1}, 88.5( \pm 1.5)^{\circ}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; 1$ example; |
| ( $7+7$ metallocycles) |  |

## B. Table 1B

| Table 1B |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}^{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{X}^{1} \mathrm{NCP}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{Y}):$ | $\mathrm{X}^{1}=\mathrm{C}^{1}, 82.2 / 79.0^{\circ}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Cl} ; 1$ example; |
| $\left(5+5^{\prime}\right.$ metallocycles $)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{n}^{3}-\mathrm{P}^{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{X}^{1} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{Y}):$ | $\mathrm{X}^{1}=\mathrm{n}^{1}, 80.8 / 95.1^{\circ}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Cl} ; 1$ example; |
| $(5+6$ metallocycles $)$ | $\mathrm{X}^{1}=\mathrm{C}^{1}, 84.2 / 86.6^{\circ}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{~L} ; 1$ example; |
| $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}^{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{X}^{1} \mathrm{NC}_{2} \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{Y}):$ | $\mathrm{X}^{1}=\mathrm{N}^{1}, 80.7 / 91.2^{\circ}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Cl} ; 1$ example; |
| $(5+6$ metallocycles $)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}^{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{X}^{1} \mathrm{CNC}_{2} \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{Y}):$ | $\mathrm{X}^{1}=\mathrm{N}^{1}, 82.8 / 96.3^{\circ}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{~L} ; 1$ example; |
| $(5+7$ metallocycles $)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}^{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{X}^{1}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{Y}):$ | $\mathrm{X}^{1}=\mathrm{O}^{1}, 81.3 / 175.9^{\circ}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{O}^{2} \mathrm{~L} ; 1$ example. |
| $(5+14$ metallocycles $)$ |  |

The total mean values of the $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{X}^{1}$ bond distance elongates in the sequence:

Pt- $\mathbf{O}^{1}$ (trans to Y): $2.152 \AA\left(\mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right)<2.162 \AA\left(\mathrm{O}^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right)<2.189 \AA$ ( $\mathrm{P}^{3} \mathrm{~L}$ );

Pt-N ${ }^{1}$ (trans to Y): 2.023( $\left.\pm 23\right) \AA(\mathrm{Cl})<2.024 \AA\left(\mathrm{~N}^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right)$ $<2.077( \pm 5) \AA\left(\mathrm{P}^{3} \mathrm{~L}\right)<2.122( \pm 16) \AA\left(\mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right)<2.152 \AA(\mathrm{H})$;

Pt-C ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ (trans to Y): $1.964 \AA(\mathrm{~F})<2.000( \pm 12) \AA(\mathrm{I})<$ $2.001( \pm 8) \AA\left(\mathrm{N}^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right) \sim 2.001( \pm 85) \AA(\mathrm{Cl})<2.026( \pm 20) \AA(\mathrm{Br})$ $<2.027( \pm 8) \AA\left(\mathrm{O}^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right)<2.049( \pm 4) \AA(\mathrm{H})<2.062( \pm 15) \AA\left(\mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right)$;

Pt-B ${ }^{1}$ (trans to Y): $1.965( \pm 9) \AA\left(\mathrm{O}^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right)<1.981 \AA(\mathrm{Cl})$ < $2.012 \AA$ (H);

Pt-S $\mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{1}}$ (trans to Y): $2.187( \pm 5) \AA(\mathrm{Cl})<2.256 \AA(\mathrm{I})<2.268 \AA$ $\left(\mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right)<2.328( \pm 15) \AA\left(\mathrm{P}^{3} \mathrm{~L}\right)$;

Pt-Si ${ }^{1}$ (trans to Y): 2.275 $\pm 5$ ) $\AA\left(\mathrm{O}^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right)<2.282( \pm 20) \AA(\mathrm{Cl})$ $<2.315 \AA\left(\mathrm{~N}^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right)<2.331( \pm 5) \AA(\mathrm{H})<2.339( \pm 14) \AA\left(\mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right)$.

The total mean values of the Pt-Y (trans to $\mathrm{X}^{1}$ ) bond distance elongates in the sequence:

Pt-Y (trans to $\left.\mathbf{0}^{1}\right): 2.066 \AA\left(\mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right)<2.111 \AA\left(\mathrm{O}^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right)<2.239 \AA$ ( ${ }^{3}{ }^{3}$ ) ;

Pt-Y (trans to $\mathbf{N}^{1}$ ): $1.690 \AA(\mathrm{H})<2.000( \pm 35) \AA\left(\mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right)<$ $2.052 \AA\left(\mathrm{~N}^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right)<2.240( \pm 60) \AA(\mathrm{Cl})<2.277 \AA\left(\mathrm{P}^{3} \mathrm{~L}\right)$;

Pt-Y (trans to $\left.\mathrm{C}^{1}\right): 1.530 \AA(\mathrm{H})<2.004( \pm 65) \AA\left(\mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right)$ $<2.060 \AA(\mathrm{~F})<2.085( \pm 12) \AA\left(\mathrm{N}^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right)<2.132( \pm 5) \AA\left(\mathrm{O}^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right)$ $<2.370( \pm 5) \AA(\mathrm{Cl})<2.475( \pm 15) \AA(\mathrm{Br})<2.482( \pm 6) \AA(\mathrm{I})$;

Pt-Y (trans to $\left.\mathbf{B}^{1}\right): 2.070 \AA(\mathrm{H})<2.294( \pm 11) \AA\left(\mathrm{O}^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right)<$ 2.453 Å (Cl);

Pt-Y (trans to S ${ }^{1}$ ): $2.093 \AA\left(\mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right)<2.285( \pm 4) \AA\left(\mathrm{P}^{3} \mathrm{~L}\right)<$ $2.317( \pm 1) \AA(\mathrm{Cl})<2.510 \AA(\mathrm{I})$;

Pt-Y (trans to Si ${ }^{1}$ ): $1.510 \AA(\mathrm{H})<2.122( \pm 6) \AA\left(\mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right)<$ $2.222 \AA\left(\mathrm{~N}^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right)<2.282 \AA\left(\mathrm{O}^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right)<2.306( \pm 10) \AA(\mathrm{Cl})$.

The total mean values of the Pt-P (mutually trans) bond distance elongates in the sequence of $\mathrm{X}^{1}$ :

Pt-P (mutually trans) ( $\mathbf{X}^{1}$ ): 2.278( $\left.\pm 40\right) \AA\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right)<$ $2.283( \pm 35) \AA\left(\mathrm{N}^{1}\right)<2.292( \pm 40) \AA\left(\mathrm{Si}^{1}\right)<2.293( \pm 30) \AA\left(\mathrm{O}^{1}\right)<$ $2.298( \pm 19) \AA\left(S^{1}\right)<2.311( \pm 30) \AA\left(B^{1}\right)$.

It is well known that in four coordinate $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ prefer a square planar geometry. The utility of a simple metric to assess molecule shape and degree of distortion as well as exemplified best the $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ parameter for a square planar geometry by the equation introduced by [6];
$\mathrm{T}_{4}=360-(\alpha+\beta) / 360$, for square planar and
$\mathrm{T}_{4}=360-(\alpha+\beta) / 141$ for tetrahedral.
The values of $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ range from 0.00 for the perfect square planar geometry to 1.00 for a perfect tetrahedral geometry, since 360-2 (109.5) = 141 .

The total mean values of $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ for the respective complexes growing in the sequences:
$\mathbf{P t}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{0}^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)(\mathrm{Y}): 0.037\left(\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right)<0.039\left(\mathrm{O}^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right)<0.064$ ( $\mathrm{P}^{3} \mathrm{~L}$ );
$\operatorname{Pt}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathbf{P}^{1} \mathbf{N}^{1} \mathbf{P}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{Y}): 0.035(\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{H})<0.046(\mathrm{Cl})<0.049$ $\left(\mathrm{N}^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right)<0.054\left(\mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right)<0.075\left(\mathrm{P}^{3} \mathrm{~L}\right)$;
$\mathbf{P t}\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)(\mathrm{Y}): 0.025(\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{H})<0.045(\mathrm{Br})<0.048$ $\left(\mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right)<0.050(\mathrm{Cl})<0.054(\mathrm{I})<0.056(\mathrm{~F})<0.057\left(\mathrm{O}^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right)<0.064$ ( $\mathrm{N}^{2} \mathrm{~L}$ );
$\operatorname{Pt}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathbf{P}^{1} \mathbf{S}^{1} \mathbf{P}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{Y}): 0.041\left(\mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right)<0.047$ (I) $<0.054$ (Cl) $<0.056\left(\mathrm{P}^{3} \mathrm{~L}\right)$;
$\mathbf{P t}\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{S i}^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)(\mathrm{Y}): 0.048\left(\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{O}^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right)<0.056(\mathrm{Cl})<0.064$ $\left(\mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right)<0.066(\mathrm{H})$;
$\operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathbf{\eta}^{3}-\mathbf{P}^{1} \mathbf{B}^{1} \mathbf{P}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{Y}): 0.073(\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{H}) \sim 0.073(\mathrm{Cl})<0.078\left(\mathrm{O}^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right)$

The distortion of the square-planar geometry increases in the given sequences. There is a cooperative effect between a degree of distortion and trans influence of $Y$ atom/ligand when trans influence of the respective $Y$ weakness degree of distortion increases.

We believe that such a review as this can continue to serve a useful function by centralizing available material and delineating areas worthy of further investigation.

Note: For References of the respective complexes are given in our previous reviews $\operatorname{Pt}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}^{1} \mathrm{X}^{1} \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{Y}), \mathrm{X}^{1}=0^{1} \mathrm{~L}$, or $\mathrm{N}^{1} \mathrm{~L}$ ) (Melník and Mikuš 2021) [3]; $\operatorname{Pt}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}^{1} \mathrm{C}^{1} \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{Y})$, (Melník and Mikuš, in press) [4] and $\operatorname{Pt}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}^{1} \mathrm{X}^{1} \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{Y}),\left(\mathrm{X}^{1}=\mathrm{B}^{1} \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{~S}^{1} \mathrm{~L}\right.$ or $\mathrm{Si}^{1} \mathrm{~L}$ ) (Melník and Mikuš in press) [5], therefore are not repeat in this summarise paper.
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